

# Math 279 Lecture 8 Notes

Daniel Raban

September 21, 2021

## 1 Solving ODEs Via Rough Integration

### 1.1 Solving for the Itô-Lyons map

We now turn to the ODE of the form

$$\dot{y} = \sigma(y)\dot{x}, \quad y(0) = y^0,$$

where  $x \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha$  and  $\sigma$  is sufficiently smooth. Here,  $x : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ ,  $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times \ell}$ , and  $y : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ . We find a unique solution to this ODE, provided that we choose a suitable  $\mathbb{X}$  so that  $\mathbf{x} = (x, \mathbb{X}) \in \mathcal{R}^\alpha$ . The solution we come up with,  $y(\cdot) = \mathcal{I}(y^0, \mathbf{x})$  is continuous (even locally Lipschitz) in  $y^0$  and  $\mathbf{x}$ .  $\mathcal{I}$  is known as the **Itô-Lyons map**. Let's make some preparations for this construction. Needless to say that we want to interpret this ODE as

$$y(t) = y^0 + \int_0^t \sigma(y(\theta)) dx(\theta).$$

Though if  $\alpha < 1/2$  (say  $\alpha \in (1/3, 1/2]$ ), we need to lift both  $\sigma(y)$  and  $x$  to  $(\sigma(y), \hat{\sigma})$ ,  $(x, \mathbb{X})$  with  $(\sigma(y), \hat{\sigma}) \in \mathcal{G}^\alpha(x)$ ,  $(x, \mathbb{X}) \in \mathcal{R}^\alpha$ .

Recall that  $\mathcal{G}^\alpha(x)$  consists of pairs  $(z, \hat{z})$  (where we intuitively think of  $\hat{z}$  as a “derivative” of  $z$  with respect to  $x$ ) such that  $z, \hat{z} \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha$  and

$$\llbracket (z, \hat{z}) \rrbracket_{2\alpha} := \sup_{s \neq t} \frac{|z(t) - z(s) - \hat{z}(s)(x(t) - x(s))|}{|t - s|^{2\alpha}} < \infty.$$

Indeed, from the integral formulation of this ODE, we expect that if  $y$  solves the equation, then  $(y, \sigma(y)) \in \mathcal{G}^\alpha(x)$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\mathbf{x} = (x, \mathbb{X}) \in \mathcal{R}^\alpha$  for  $\alpha \in (1/3, 1/2]$ , and assume  $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_b^3$  (bounded derivatives). Then for each  $y^0$ , there exists a path  $y \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha$  such that  $y(0) = y^0$ ,  $(y, \sigma(y)) \in \mathcal{G}^\alpha(x)$ , and*

$$y(t) = y^0 + \int_0^t \underbrace{(\sigma(y), \hat{\sigma}(y))}_{\sigma} \cdot \underbrace{d(x, \mathbb{X})}_{\mathbf{x}}.$$

Here,  $\widehat{\sigma}(y) = [\widehat{\sigma}^{ijk}(y)]$  with

$$\widehat{\sigma}^{ijk}(y) = \sum_{r=1}^d \sigma_{y_r}^{i,j}(y) \sigma^{rk}(y).$$

Moreover,  $\mathcal{I}(y^0, \mathbf{x})$  is Lipschitz with Lipschitz norm calculated in terms of  $\|\sigma\|_{\mathcal{C}^3}$  and  $\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\alpha, 2\alpha}$ .

The idea is to start from  $\mathbf{y} = (y, \widehat{y})$  and set

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{x}}(\widehat{y})(t) = \left( y^0 + \int_0^t (\sigma(y), \widetilde{\sigma}(y, \widehat{y})) \cdot d(x, \mathbb{X}), \sigma(y) \right),$$

where  $\widetilde{\sigma}(y, \widehat{y}) = [\widetilde{\sigma}^{ijk}(y, \widehat{y})]$ , where

$$\widetilde{\sigma}^{ijk}(y, \widehat{y}) = \sum_{r=1}^d \sigma_{y_r}^{ij}(y) \widehat{y}^{rk}.$$

If  $\widehat{y}$  is a fixed point of  $\mathcal{F}$ , then we are done because then the Gubinelli derivative of such  $\mathbf{y}$  must be  $\sigma(y)$ .

## 1.2 Breakdown of the map $\mathcal{F}$

Let's understand  $\mathcal{F}$  better: Throughout,  $\mathbf{x} = (x, \mathbb{X}) \in \mathcal{R}^\alpha$  is fixed.

Step 1: Recall that for  $\mathbf{z} = (x, \widehat{z}) \in \mathcal{G}^\alpha(x)$ , we can define  $w(t) = \int_0^t \mathbf{z} dx$ , which satisfies

$$|w(t) - w(s) - z(s)(x(t) - x(s)) - \widehat{z}(s)\mathbb{X}(s, t)| \leq c_0([z]_\alpha[x]_\alpha + [\widehat{z}]_\alpha[\mathbb{X}]_{2\alpha})|t - s|^{3\alpha}.$$

This suggests  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{x}} : \mathcal{G}^\alpha(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^\alpha(x)$  by  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{x}}^0(z, \widehat{z}) = (w, z)$ . In fact,  $\mathcal{F}^0$  is linear and

$$\|\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{x}}^0(\mathbf{y})\|_{\alpha, 2\alpha} \leq c_0[\mathbf{x}]_{\alpha, 2\alpha}[\mathbf{y}]_{\alpha, 2\alpha}.$$

Here is the short proof of this:

*Proof.*

$$|w(t) - w(s) - z(s)(x(t) - x(s))| \leq \|\widehat{z}\|_{L^\infty}[\mathbb{X}]_{2\alpha}|t - s|^{2\alpha} + c_0(\text{what we had before})|t - s|^{3\alpha}. \quad \square$$

Step 2: Define  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{x}}^1 : \mathcal{G}^\alpha(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^\alpha(x)$  with  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{x}}^1(z, \widehat{z}) = (\sigma(z), D\sigma(z)\widehat{z})$ , where

$$(D\sigma(z)\widehat{z})^{ijk} = \sum_{r=1}^d \sigma_{z_r}^{ij} \widehat{z}^{rk}$$

and  $\mathcal{F}^1$  is bounded if  $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}^2$ . Here is the proof:

*Proof.* Using a Taylor expansion for  $\sigma$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\sigma(z(t)) - \sigma(z(s)) - D\sigma(z(s))\widehat{z}(s)x(s, t)| \\
& \leq |D\sigma(z(s))(z(t) - z(s)) - D\sigma(z(s))\widehat{z}(s)x(s, t)| + \|D^2\sigma\|_{L^\infty}[z]_\alpha |t - s|^{2\alpha} \\
& \leq \|D\sigma\|_{L^\infty}[\mathbf{z}]_{\alpha, 2\alpha} |t - s|^{2\alpha} + \|D^2\sigma\|_{L^\infty}[z]_\alpha |t - s|^{2\alpha} \\
& \leq \|\sigma\|_{\mathcal{C}^2}[\mathbf{z}]_{\alpha, 2\alpha} |t - s|^{2\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

So we get that

$$\|\mathcal{F}_x^1(\mathbf{z})\|_{\alpha, 2\alpha} \leq \|\sigma\|_{\mathcal{C}^2} \|\mathbf{z}\|_{\alpha, 2\alpha}. \quad \square$$

Step 3: Next, we define  $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{G}^\alpha(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^\alpha(x)$ , as  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}^0 \circ \mathcal{F}^1$ , so we send

$$(y, \widehat{y}) \mapsto (\sigma(y), D\sigma(y)\widehat{y}) \mapsto \left( \int_0^\cdot (\sigma\widehat{\sigma}) \cdot d(x, \mathbb{X}), \sigma(y) \right).$$

Then set

$$\mathcal{F}'(y, \widehat{y}) = \left( y^0 + \int_0^\cdot (\sigma, \widehat{\sigma}) \cdot d(x, \mathbb{X}), \sigma(y) \right).$$

We need to turn  $\mathcal{F}'$  into a contraction so that it has a fixed point. We achieve this by choosing a sufficiently small interval  $[0, t_0)$ , and finding a nice invariant subset of  $\mathcal{G}^\alpha(x)$ . As we will see,  $t_0$  depends on  $\|\sigma\|_{\mathcal{C}^3}$ , so we can repeat the same construction on  $[t_0, 2t_0), \dots$ .

How can this be done? First, switch from  $\mathcal{G}^\alpha(x)$  to  $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}^\alpha(x) = \{(y, \widehat{y}) : y(0) = y^0, \widehat{y}(0) = \sigma(y^0)\}$ . This way, we don't need to worry about the difference between a norm and a seminorm; this contraction takes place in a metric space, which is good enough for our purposes. Observe that  $(a, \widehat{a}) \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}}^\alpha(x)$ , where  $a(t) = y^0 + \sigma(y^0)(x(t) - x(0))$  and  $\widehat{a}(t) = \sigma(y^0)$ . Observe that

$$\underbrace{a(t) - a(s)}_{\sigma(y^0)(x(t) - x(s))} - \underbrace{\widehat{a}(s)}_{\sigma(y^0)}(x(t) - x(s)) = 0.$$

Now set  $\mathcal{B} = \{(y, \widehat{y}) \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}}^\alpha(x) : \|(y - a, \widehat{y} - \widehat{a})\|_{\alpha, 2\alpha} \leq 1\}$ . The trick is to construct something in a rougher space and then show that it is as regular as you want. We will continue this next time.